

# And The Winner Is...? How to Pick a Better Model

Part 2 – Goodness-of-Fit and Internal Stability Dan Tevet, FCAS, MAAA



#### **Goodness-of-Fit**

- Trying to answer question: How well does our model fit the data?
- Can be measured on training data or on holdout data
- By identifying areas of poor model fit, we may be able to improve our model
- A few ways to measure goodness-of-fit
  - Squared or absolute error
  - Likelihood/log-likelihood
  - -AIC/BIC
  - Deviance/deviance residuals
  - Pearson Chi-Squared
  - Plot of actual versus predicted target



#### **Squared Error & Absolute Error**

- For each record, calculate the squared or absolute difference between actual and predicted target variable
- Easy and intuitive, but generally inappropriate for insurance data, and can lead to selection of wrong model
- Squared error appropriate for Normal data, but insurance data generally not Normal



#### Likelihood

- The probability, as predicted by our model, that what actually did occur would occur
- A GLM calculates the parameters that maximize likelihood
- Higher likelihood → better model fit (very simple terms)
- Problem with likelihood adding a variable always improves likelihood



# AIC & BIC

- Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) = -2\*(Log Likelihood) + 2\*(Number of Parameters in Model)
- Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) = -2\*(Log Likelihood) + (Number of Parameters in Model)\*In(Number of Records in Dataset)
- Penalized measures of fit
- Good rule for deciding which variables to include unless a variables improves AIC or BIC, don't include it
- BIC often too restrictive



#### Deviance

Saturated model – the model with the highest possible likelihood

- One indicator variable for each record, so model fits data perfectly

- Deviance = 2\*(loglikelihood of saturated model loglikelihood of fitted model)
- GLMs minimize deviance
- Like squared error, but reflects shape of assumed distribution
- We generally fit skewed distributions to insurance data (Tweedie, gamma, etc), and thus deviance is more appropriate than squared error



# **Deviance – in Math**

• Poisson: 
$$2\sum_{i} w_i \left( y_i \ln \frac{y_i}{\mu_i} - y_i + \mu_i \right)$$

• Gamma: 
$$2\sum_{i} w_i \left(-\ln \frac{y_i}{\mu_i} + \frac{y_i - \mu_i}{\mu_i}\right)$$

• Tweedie: 
$$2\sum_{i} w_i \left( y_i \frac{y_i^{1-p} - \mu_i^{1-p}}{1-p} - \frac{y_i^{2-p} - \mu_i^{2-p}}{2-p} \right)$$
  
• Normal:  $\sum_{i} w_i (y_i - \mu_i)^2$ 



# **Analysis of Deviance**

- Can calculate deviance for each record and focus on outliers (why is model missing so badly for those records?)
- Can plot the deviance for each observation to visually inspect for outliers
- Note that analysis of deviance doesn't only apply to GLMs
  - -As long as we are willing to assume a distribution, we can calculate deviance
  - –Deviance can be used for minimum bias procedures



#### **Plot of Deviance by Record**





#### **Plot of Deviance by Record**





#### Residuals

- Raw residual =  $y_i \mu_i$ , where y is actual value of target variable and  $\mu$  is predicted value
- In simple linear regression, residuals are supposed to be Normally distributed, and departure from Normality indicates poor fit
- For insurance data, raw residuals are highly skewed and generally not useful



# **Deviance Residuals**

- Square root of (weighted) deviance times the sign of actual minus predicted
- Measures amount by which the model missed, but reflects the assumed distribution
- Should be approximately Normally distributed, and far departure from Normality indicates that incorrect distribution has been chosen
- Ideally, there should be no discernable pattern in deviance residuals

–Model should miss randomly, not systemically



# **Deviance Residual Diagnostics**

- Histogram of deviance residuals look for approximate Normality (bell-shape)
  - -Far departure from Normality generally indicates that incorrect distribution has been chosen
    - -Can also indicate poor fit
- Scatter plot of deviance residuals versus predicted target variable
  - -Should be uninformative cloud
  - -Pattern in this plot indicates incorrect distribution



# **Deviance Residual Diagnostics**

- Scatter plot of deviance residuals versus weight
  - If weight statement is appropriate, then plot should be uninformative cloud
- Plot deviance residual for each record and look for outliers
- Feed deviance residuals into tree algorithm

   If deviance residuals are random, then tree should find no significant splits



#### **Example: Selecting Severity Model**

- Goal is to select a distribution to model severity
- Two common choices Gamma and Inverse Gaussian
  - -Gamma:  $V(\mu) = \mu^2$

-Variance of severity is proportional to mean severity squared

–Inverse Gaussian: V( $\mu$ ) =  $\mu^3$ 

-Variance of severity is proportional to mean severity cubed

#### • Two lines of business

- -LOB1 is high-frequency, low-severity
- -LOB2 is low-frequency, high-severity



#### **Deviance Residual Histogram**



LOB1, Gamma GLM



#### **Deviance Residual Histogram**



LOB1, IG GLM



#### Plot of Deviance Residuals versus Target



LOB1, Gamma GLM



#### Plot of Deviance Residuals versus Target





#### **Deviance Residual Histogram**



LOB2, Gamma GLM



#### **Deviance Residual Histogram**



LOB2, IG GLM



# **Deviance Residuals Caution**

- Analysis of deviance residuals only applicable to continuous or somewhat-continuous data
- If building a frequency model, and every record has either 0 or 1 claim, then deviance residuals will be bimodal
- If can aggregate discrete data to make it somewhat continuous, then deviance residual diagnostics may be appropriate



# **Actual vs Predicted Target**

- Scatter plot of actual target variable (on y-axis) versus predicted target variable (on x-axis)
- If model fits well, then plot should produce a straight line, indicating close agreement between actual and predicted

-Focus on areas where model seems to miss

- If have many records, may need to bucket (such as into percentiles)
- Depending on scale, may need to plot on a loglog scale



#### **Example of Actual vs Predicted**





# Example of Log of Actual vs Log of Predicted





# Benefit of Deviance over Squared Error

- Since squared error is the deviance of a regression model with a Normal distribution, using squared error for non-Normal data can lead to incorrect model being chosen
- We run two models on our dataset one with a Tweedie distribution and one with a Normal distribution
- Data is far from Normal, but using squared error as a metric, the Normal GLM wins

-Even absolute error shows the Normal winning



#### Log of Actual vs Log of Pred Target with Normal Linear Regression





# **Measuring Internal Stability**

- Process of determining how robust our model results are
- Useful measures:
  - -Out-of-sample (out-of-time) validation
  - -Cross-validation
  - Plotting actual versus predicted target variable on holdout data
  - –Measures of influence (e.g. Cook's Distance)
  - -Bootstrapping



# **Out-of-Sample Validation**

- Important to assess model fit on data that was not used in model construction
- Two approaches:
  - Initially split dataset into training and test, build model on training, and measure fit on test
  - -Cross-validate repeatedly use one subset to build and one to test
- Can randomly split dataset, or can split based on a control variable (like year)



# **Assessing Stability over Time**

- Generally want model results to be stable over time
- To assess temporal stability, can run the model on individual years and look for variability
  - -For example, if have 5 years, can run model on just years 1 and 2, then on just years 2 and 3, etc
  - Ideally, the parameter estimates don't change significantly across subsets



# **Out-of-Sample Deviance**

- Use one set to build model and, based on those results, calculate the deviance of each record in a holdout dataset
- Look for outlier records (either visually or by sorting)



#### Plot of Actual vs Predicted on Holdout

- Produce scatter plot of actual target variable versus predicted target variable as before, but use one set to build model and another set to plot
- Very simple diagnostic to produce and understand, and tells a powerful story

-Easy to explain to non-technical audience



#### **Example of Plot of Actual vs Predicted on Holdout**





# **Cook's Distance**

- Cook's Distance is an Influence Diagnostic, which tells us the impact that each record has on model results
- Larger Cook's Distance → more influence → model results may change significantly if record is removed
- Two uses of Cook's Distance
  - -Identifying erroneous records
  - -Measuring the internal stability of a model
    - Delete the 10 records with the largest Cook's Distance and rerun the model
    - If deleting only a handful of records causes results to change significantly, then model is not very stable



# Bootstrapping

- Re-sampling technique that allows us to get more out of our data
- Start with a dataset and sample from it with replacement
  - -Some records will get pulled multiple times, and some will not get pulled at all
- Generally, we create a dataset with the same number of records as our original dataset
- Can create many bootstrap datasets, and each dataset can be thought of as an alternate reality
  - Since each bootstrap is an alternate reality, we can use bootstrapping to construct confidence intervals



#### **Bootstrap Cls for Parameter Estimates**

- GLMs produce confidence intervals for parameter estimates, but it is valuable to get a second opinion
- Create many bootstrap datasets, re-run the GLM on each dataset, and construct a confidence interval based on the resulting parameter estimates
- If bootstrap confidence interval is significantly wider than that produced by GLM, it is a sign that our results are overly-influenced by a few records



#### **Confidence Intervals for Fit Statistics**

- Using bootstrapping, we can put confidence intervals around deviance or log-likelihood
- If deviance varies widely across the bootstrap datasets, it is a sign that our results are not very stable



#### **Confidence Intervals for Lift Measures**

- Can use bootstrapping to put confidence intervals around lift measures, like Gini indices
- In measuring lift, we seek to answer the question: Does Model A outperform Model B?
- If the answer is yes, then the second question is: How significant is the win?
- Say Model A has a Gini index of 15.90 and Model B has a Gini index of 15.40
  - Model A has a Gini index that is 0.50 higher, but is that difference significant?
- Can also bootstrap quantile plots and double lift charts



#### References

- Anderson, Duncan, et. al., A Practitioner's Guide to Generalized Linear Models, CAS Discussion Paper Program, 2004, pp. 1-116.
- De Jong, Piet and Heller, Gillian, *Generalized Linear Models* for Insurance Data, Cambridge University Press, 2008
- Efron, Bradley and Tibshirani, Robert, An Introduction to the Bootstrap, Chapman & Hall, 1994
- McCullagh, P. and J. A. Nelder, *Generalized Linear Models*, 2nd Ed., Chapman & Hall, 1989
- Werner, Geoff and Claudine Modlin, *Basic Ratemaking*, Casualty Actuarial Society, Fourth Edition, October 2010.



# And The Winner Is...? How to Pick a Better Model

Part 2 – Goodness-of-Fit and Internal Stability Dan Tevet, FCAS, MAAA